

New Limits on Dinucleon Decay into Invisible Channels[¶]

V. I. Tretyak*, V. Yu. Denisov, and Yu. G. Zdesenko

Institute for Nuclear Research, Kiev, 03680 Ukraine

**e-mail: tretyak@kinr.kiev.ua*

Received December 16, 2003

Data of the radiochemical experiment (E.L. Fireman, 1978) with 1.7 t of $\text{KC}_2\text{H}_3\text{O}_2$, accumulated deep underground during ≈ 1 yr, were reanalyzed to set limits on dinucleon (nn and np) decays into invisible channels (disappearance, decay into neutrinos, etc.). The obtained lifetime bounds $\tau_{np} > 2.1 \times 10^{25}$ yr and $\tau_{nn} > 4.2 \times 10^{25}$ yr (at 90% C.L.) are better (or competitive) than those established in the recent experiments. © 2004 MAIK “Nauka/Interperiodica”.

PACS numbers: 13.30.-a; 14.20.Dh

The more than three-decade-long searches for proton decay, which is predicted by the Grand Unified Theories, continue to be one of the most important and intriguing subjects in the quest for effects beyond the Standard Model of elementary particles [1]. Up to now, only lifetime limits were established for such processes, being on the level of $\tau > 10^{30}$ – 10^{33} yr for nucleon decay into particles, which can strongly or electromagnetically interact with the nuclei contained in the detector's sensitive volume [2]. Recently, interest has increased in nucleon decays into so-called “invisible” channels (which are complementary to conventional ones [2]), when a nucleon or pair of nucleons decay into some weakly interacting particles (for example, neutrinos) or disappear. The last possibility is related with theories describing our world as four-dimensional brane embedded in a higher-dimensional structure [3–5]. According to [5], the disappearance of particles into extra dimensions is a generic property of matter. Searches for disappeared energy and/or momentum in particles' collision are planned with accelerators at high energies [6]. An experiment to search for the disappearance of orthopositronium is discussed in [7]. Perspectives to search for invisible decays of neutrons and dineutrons in ^{12}C with the 1000 t KamLAND detector are examined in [8], and sensitivities of future a 1000-t lead perchlorate detector for n disappearance in ^{35}Cl and ^{208}Pb are considered in [9].

As for the to-date status, the most stringent limits for nucleon and dinucleon decay into invisible channels have been known from the experiments performed during few last years (all bounds are given with 90% C.L.):

(1) $\tau_p > 3.5 \times 10^{28}$ yr—from the number of free neutrons which could be created as a result of p disappearance in deuterium nuclei ($d = pn$), which are contained in 1000 t of D_2O of the SNO apparatus [10];

(2) $\tau_p > 3.9 \times 10^{29}$ yr and $\tau_n > 3.9 \times 10^{29}$ yr—from the number of γ quanta with $E_\gamma = 6$ – 7 MeV which will be emitted in deexcitation of ^{15}O or ^{15}N after n or p disappearance in ^{16}O nucleus in 1000 t of the SNO heavy water [11];

(3) $\tau_{pp} > 5.0 \times 10^{25}$ yr and $\tau_{nn} > 4.9 \times 10^{25}$ yr—from the search for decay of radioactive nuclei (^{10}C , ^{11}Be , and ^{14}O) created after pp and nn disappearance in ^{12}C , ^{13}C , and ^{16}O nuclei in liquid scintillator (4.2 t of $\text{C}_{16}\text{H}_{18}$) and water shield (1000 t) of the BOREXINO Counting Test Facility [12];

(4) $\tau_{np} > 3.2 \times 10^{23}$ yr—from the search for decay of ^{134}I created as a result of np disappearance in ^{136}Xe [13].

In order to improve the τ_{np} limit, we reanalyze here the data of the old radiochemical experiment [14] where the daughter nuclide ^{37}Ar was searched for as a possible product of the p or n disappearance in ^{39}K . The target, 1710 kg of potassium acetate $\text{KC}_2\text{H}_3\text{O}_2$, which contains 9.7×10^{27} atoms of ^{39}K , was exposed deep underground (the Homestake mine, 4400 m w.e.) for more than 1 yr. The production rate of ^{37}Ar , extracted from the target and detected due to its radioactive decay $^{37}\text{Ar} \rightarrow ^{37}\text{Cl}$ ($T_{1/2} = 35$ days), for the last 3.5-month period was measured as 0.3 ± 0.6 atom/day. On this basis, the authors of [14, 15] have accepted the limit on the production rate of ^{37}Ar as 1 atom/day and have calculated the restrictions on the p and n lifetimes. For example, after the p decay in ^{39}K , the nucleus ^{38}Ar will be created, as a rule being in an excited state (unless the disappeared p was on the outermost shell). The authors estimated that, in 22.2% of cases, an additional neutron will be emitted from ^{38}Ar in the deexcitation process, giving rise to an ^{37}Ar nucleus [14, 15]. Similarly, after

[¶]This article was submitted by the authors in English.

the n disappearance in initial ${}^{39}_{19}\text{K}$, produced ${}^{38}_{19}\text{K}$ emits p with a 20.4% probability, which will also result in the ${}^{37}_{18}\text{Ar}$ nucleus. From these values, accounting for 19 protons and 20 neutrons in the ${}^{39}_{19}\text{K}$, the limits $\tau_p = \tau_n = 1.1 \times 10^{26}$ yr were set [14, 15].

However, the same data can be used to calculate the τ_{np} limit, just noticing that the simultaneous disappearance of the np pair in ${}^{39}_{19}\text{K}$ also will produce the ${}^{37}_{18}\text{Ar}$ nucleus. The corresponding limit on the lifetime can be derived by using the formula

$$\lim \tau = N_{\text{nuc1}} \times N_{\text{obj}}^{\text{eff}} \times t / \lim S, \quad (1)$$

where N_{nuc1} is the number of ${}^{39}\text{K}$ nuclei, $N_{\text{obj}}^{\text{eff}}$ is the “effective” number of objects (here, np pairs) whose disappearance in the parent nucleus will result in the creation of the daughter nuclide, t is the time of measurements, and $\lim S$ is the number of effect’s events which can be excluded at a given confidence level on the basis of the experimental data.

According to the Feldman–Cousins procedure [2, 16], the measured value of the ${}^{37}\text{K}$ production rate $S/t = 0.3 \pm 0.6$ atom/day results in the limit $\lim S/t = 1.28$ atom/day at 90% C.L. Conservatively, supposing only *one* np pair (for one unpaired proton in the ${}^{39}_{19}\text{K}$ nucleus; disappearance of the outermost proton and neutron on the nucleons shell in the parent nucleus will produce a daughter in a nonexcited state) and using Eq. (1) with $N_{\text{nuc1}} = 9.7 \times 10^{27}$, we obtain the following np lifetime limit:

$$\lim \tau_{np} = 2.1 \times 10^{25} \text{ yr at 90\% C.L.}$$

In addition, the τ_{nn} bound can also be determined: the disappearance of the nn pair from ${}^{39}_{19}\text{K}$ nucleus will give ${}^{37}_{19}\text{K}$, which quickly decays again to ${}^{37}_{18}\text{Ar}$ with $T_{1/2} = 1.2$ s [17].¹ The number of objects, $N_{\text{obj}}^{\text{eff}}$, can be calculated in the following way [12, 18, 19]. After the disappearance of neutrons with binding energies $E_{n1}^b(A, Z)$ and $E_{n2}^b(A, Z)$ in (A, Z) nucleus, the excitation energy of the $(A - 2, Z)$ daughter, E_{exc} , can be approximated as $E_{\text{exc}} = E_{n1}^b(A, Z) + E_{n2}^b(A, Z) - 2S_n(A, Z)$, where $S_n(A, Z)$ is the binding energy of the least bound neutron in the (A, Z) nucleus. In the process of deexcitation of the $(A - 2, Z)$ daughter, only γ quanta can be emitted when the value of E_{exc} is lower than the binding energy of the least bound nucleon in the $(A - 2, Z)$ nucleus: $E_{\text{exc}} < S_N(A - 2, Z)$, where $S_N(A - 2, Z) =$

$\min\{S_n(A - 2, Z), S_p(A - 2, Z)\}$.² Under this condition, we receive the restriction on the values of the neutrons binding energies: $E_{n1}^b(A, Z) + E_{n2}^b(A, Z) < 2S_n(A, Z) + S_N(A - 2, Z)$.

Values of the separation energies S_n and S_p were taken from [20]. Single-particle energies $E_n^b(A, Z)$ for neutrons in the ${}^{39}_{19}\text{K}$ nucleus were calculated with the WSBETA code [21] using the Blomqvist–Wahlborn parametrization of the Woods–Saxon potential [22]. The calculated value of the neutron separation energy $S_n^{\text{calc}} = 13.08$ MeV is in good agreement with the experimental value $S_n^{\text{exp}} = 13.07$ MeV [20]. We conservatively suppose that contributions to the effective number of objects, $N_{\text{obj}}^{\text{eff}}$, give only paired neutrons (i.e., neutrons with equal values of all quantum numbers, except for the magnetic quantum number) and neglect contributions from other neutrons. Taking into account that the binding energies of such particles are equal, the appropriate equation is as follows: $2E_n^b(A, Z) < 2S_n(A, Z) + S_N(A - 2, Z)$. This condition gives only two nn pairs whose disappearance from ${}^{39}_{19}\text{K}$ will produce relatively low-excited daughter ${}^{37}_{19}\text{K}$, which emit only γ quanta (hence, cannot be transformed to a nucleus with $A < 37$ as a result of ejection of additional nucleons). Substituting the values $N_{\text{nuc1}} = 9.7 \times 10^{27}$, $N_{\text{obj}}^{\text{eff}} = 2$, and $\lim S/t = 1.28$ atom/day in Eq. (1), one gets

$$\lim \tau_{nn} = 4.2 \times 10^{25} \text{ yr at 90\% C.L.}$$

In conclusion, reanalysis of the data of the radiochemical experiment of Fireman [14] allows us to establish the limits $\tau_{nn} > 4.2 \times 10^{25}$ yr and $\tau_{np} > 2.1 \times 10^{25}$ yr at 90% C.L. The τ_{nn} value is near the same as that given recently by the BOREXINO Collaboration ($\tau_{nn} > 4.9 \times 10^{25}$ yr [12]), while the obtained value for τ_{np} is two orders of magnitude higher than that set in [13] and is the most restrictive up-to-date limit for np decays into invisible channels.

REFERENCES

1. P. Langacker, Phys. Rep. **71**, 185 (1981).
2. K. Hagiwara *et al.* (Particle Data Group), Phys. Rev. D **66**, 010001 (2002).
3. F. J. Yndurain, Phys. Lett. B **256**, 15 (1991).
4. N. Arkani-Hamed, S. Dimopoulos, and G. Dvali, Phys. Lett. B **429**, 263 (1998).

¹ Unfortunately, the disappearance of the pp pair results in the creation of stable nucleus ${}^{37}_{17}\text{Cl}$ and, thus, cannot be investigated in this approach.

² Higher excitations of the daughter nucleus will result in the deexcitation process with the emission of mostly n, p , etc., instead of γ quanta, and give not the $(A - 2, Z)$ nucleus but isotopes with lower A and Z values.

5. V. A. Rubakov, Usp. Fiz. Nauk **171**, 913 (2001) [Phys. Usp. **44**, 871 (2001)].
6. J. Hewett and J. March-Russell, in [2].
7. S. N. Gninenko, N. V. Krasnikov, and A. Rubbia, Phys. Rev. D **67**, 075012 (2003).
8. Yu. Kamyshev and E. Kolbe, Phys. Rev. D **67**, 076007 (2003).
9. R. N. Boyd, T. Rauscher, S. D. Reitzner, and P. Vogel, Phys. Rev. D **68**, 074014 (2003).
10. Yu. G. Zdesenko and V. I. Tretyak, Phys. Lett. B **553**, 135 (2003).
11. S. N. Ahmed *et al.*, hep-ex/0310030.
12. H. O. Back *et al.*, Phys. Lett. B **563**, 23 (2003).
13. R. Bernabei *et al.*, Preprint LNGS/EXP-08/03 (2003); *Talk on 4th International Conference on Physics Beyond the Standard Model: Beyond the Desert'03, Castle Ringberg, Tegernsee, Germany* (2003).
14. E. L. Fireman, in *Proceedings of International Conference on Neutrino Physics and Neutrino Astrophysics (Neutrino'77), Baksan Valley, USSR, 1977* (Nauka, Moscow, 1978), Vol. 1, p. 53.
15. R. I. Steinberg and J. C. Evans, in *Proceedings of International Conference on Neutrino Physics and Neutrino Astrophysics (Neutrino'77), Baksan Valley, USSR, 1977* (Nauka, Moscow, 1978), Vol. 2, p. 321.
16. G. J. Feldman and R. D. Cousins, Phys. Rev. D **57**, 3873 (1998).
17. *Table of Isotopes*, Ed. by R. B. Firestone, V. S. Shirley, C. M. Baglin, *et al.*, 8th ed. (Wiley, New York, 1996).
18. J. C. Evans, Jr. and R. I. Steinberg, Science **197**, 989 (1977).
19. R. Bernabei *et al.*, Phys. Lett. B **493**, 12 (2000).
20. G. Audi and A. H. Wapstra, Nucl. Phys. A **595**, 409 (1995).
21. S. Cwiok *et al.*, Comput. Phys. Commun. **46**, 379 (1987).
22. J. Blomqvist and S. Wahlborn, Ark. Fys. **16**, 545 (1960).