

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com

Nuclear Physics A 717 (2003) 129–145

www.elsevier.com/locate/npe

Two-neutrino 2β decay of ¹¹⁶Cd and new half-life limits on 2β decay of ¹⁸⁰W and ¹⁸⁶W

F.A. Danevich^a, A.Sh. Georgadze^a, V.V. Kobychev^{a, 1}, A.S. Nikolaiko^a, O.A. Ponkratenko^a, V.I. Tretyak^a, S.Yu. Zdesenko^a, Yu.G. Zdesenko^{a,∗}, P.G. Bizzeti^b, T.F. Fazzini ^b, P.R. Maurenzig b

^a *Institute for Nuclear Research, MSP 03680 Kiev, Ukraine* ^b *Dipartimento di Fisica, Universitá di Firenze and INFN, 50019 Firenze, Italy*

Received 27 September 2002; received in revised form 3 December 2002; accepted 7 January 2003

Abstract

Studies of the 2 β decay of ¹¹⁶Cd, ¹⁸⁰W and ¹⁸⁶W have been performed in the Solotvina Underground Laboratory with the help of the super-low background $116CdWO_4$ crystal scintillators. The half-life value of the two-neutrino 2 β decay of ¹¹⁶Cd has been revised as

 $T_{1/2}^{2\nu} = 2.9 \pm 0.1$ (stat) $^{+0.4}_{-0.3}$ (syst) × 10¹⁹ yr.

Besides, the new half-live limits on different modes of this process have been established for two natural tungsten isotopes, in particular, $T_{1/2} \geq 1.0$ (1.6) × 10²¹ yr at 90% (68%) C.L. for the neutrinoless 2*β* decay of ¹⁸⁶W to the ground (0^+) and first excited (2^+) level of ¹⁸⁶Os. 2003 Elsevier Science B.V. All rights reserved.

PACS: 23.40.-s; 27.60.+g; 29.40.Mc

Keywords: Double beta decay; 116 Cd; 180 W; 186 W; CdWO₄ crystal scintillators

Corresponding author.

E-mail address: zdesenko@kinr.kiev.ua (Yu.G. Zdesenko).

¹ Current address: INFN, Laboratori Nazionali del Gran Sasso, 67010 Assergi (AQ), Italy.

1. Introduction

Recent observations of neutrino oscillations [1–3] provide important motivation for double beta (2*β*) decay experiments [4–6]. Indeed, neutrinoless (0*ν*) mode of 2*β* decay, which violates lepton number (*L*) conservation and requires neutrino to be a massive Majorana particle, is forbidden in the framework of the standard model (SM) of electroweak theory. However, many extensions of the SM incorporate *L* violating interactions and, thus, could lead to this process, which when observed, will prove the Majorana nature of the neutrino. Moreover, while oscillation experiments are sensitive to the neutrino mass difference, only the measured $0\nu2\beta$ decay rate can give the absolute scale of the effective Majorana neutrino mass and, consequently, could test different neutrino mixing models. Hence, the discovery of the $0\nu2\beta$ decay would be a clear evidence for a new physics beyond the SM [4–6].

Despite numerous experimental efforts this process still remains unobserved, and only half-life limits for 0*ν* mode were obtained in direct experiments up to now (see reviews [4–7]). The highest bounds were established for several nuclides: $T_{1/2}^{0\nu} \ge 10^{21}$ yr for ⁴⁸Ca [8], ¹⁵⁰Nd [9], ¹⁶⁰Gd [10]; *T*_{1/2} ≥ 10²² yr for ⁸²Se [11], ¹⁰⁰Mo [12]; *T*_{1/2} ≥ 10²³ yr for ¹¹⁶Cd [13], ¹²⁸Te, ¹³⁰Te [14], ¹³⁶Xe [15]; and $T_{1/2}^{0\nu} \ge 10^{25}$ yr for ⁷⁶Ge [16,17].

In this paper the revised $T_{1/2}^{2\nu}$ value of the two-neutrino 2 β decay of ¹¹⁶Cd (determined with a greater accuracy) and results of the search for the double beta decay processes in $180W$ and $186W$ nuclei are presented. They were obtained by analyzing the data (≈ 0.5 yr kg statistics) collected in the course of the Solotvina 2β decay experiment on ¹¹⁶Cd with the help of low-background cadmium tungstate $(CdWO₄)$ crystal scintillators [13]. These crystals are enriched in 116 Cd to 83%, and, hence, they can serve as source and detector of 2*β* decay events simultaneously (so-called an "active" source technique).

The level scheme of the 116 Cd -116 In -116 Sn triplet is depicted in Fig. 1(a), while the response functions of the 116CdWO4 detector for the different 2*ν* and 0*ν* modes of 116Cd 2*β* decay (simulated with the help of GEANT3.21 code [18] and event generator DECAY4 $[19]$) are shown in Fig. $1(b)$.

Besides, $\frac{116}{\text{CdWO}_4}$ crystals contain two potentially 2β decaying tungsten isotopes, whose abundance, δ , are 0.12% for ¹⁸⁰W and 28.4% for ¹⁸⁶W [20]. The level scheme of the 186W–186Re–186Os triplet is depicted in Fig. 2(a), from which one can see that 2*β* decay of $186W$ to the ground and excited 2^+ levels of $186Os$ is allowed energetically. If neutrinoless 2*β* decays occur in the ¹¹⁶CdWO₄ crystal, the peak at the energy $Q_{\beta\beta} = 488$ keV [21] $(Q_{2\beta})$ is the 2 β decay energy release) would be observed in the background spectrum of the detector (the width of peak is determined by the actual energy resolution of the latter). The sum electron spectrum of the two-neutrino 2β decay of ¹⁸⁶W should be a continuous distribution ending near $Q_{\beta\beta}$ value. The response functions of the ¹¹⁶CdWO₄ detector for the different 2*β* decay modes of 186W (including 0*ν* transition with emission of a light neutral boson–Majoron–0*ν*2*β*M) are presented in Fig. 2(b)—they were also simulated with the help of the GEANT and DECAY4 codes.

Similarly, in accordance with the level scheme of the 180W–180Ta–180Hf triplet (see Fig. 3(a)), the double electron capture (2*ε*) of ¹⁸⁰W ($Q_{2*ε*}$ = 146 keV [21]) is allowed. In case of 2*ν* double electron capture from *K* shell (2*ν*2*K*), the total energy released in a

Fig. 1. (a) The scheme of the ¹¹⁶Cd⁻¹¹⁶In⁻¹¹⁶Sn triplet. The $Q_{ββ}$ energy is taken from [21]. (b) Simulated response functions of the ¹¹⁶CdWO₄ crystal scintillators to the 2*ν* and 0*ν* modes of the 2*β* decay of ¹¹⁶Cd.

detector is equal to $2E_K$ (where $E_K = 65.4$ keV is the binding energy of electrons on *K* shell of hafnium atom), while the rest of the energy $(Q_{2\epsilon} - 2E_K \approx 25 \text{ keV})$ is carried away by neutrinos. For the neutrinoless double electron capture (0*ν*2*ε*) all available energy (transferred to X-rays*/*auger electrons, gamma quanta or conversion electrons) will result in the peak at $Q_{2\varepsilon} = 146$ keV value. The simulated response functions of the ¹¹⁶CdWO₄ detector for the both modes are presented in Fig. 3(b).

2. Experiment

The description of the set-up with the cadmium tungstate $(CdWO₄)$ crystal scintillators and its performance have been published elsewhere (see for details [13] and references therein), thus only the main features of this apparatus are briefly summarized here. The experiment was carried out in the Solotvina Underground Laboratory at a depth of 1000 m of water equivalent [22]. Four 116 CdWO₄ crystals (enriched in 116 Cd to 83%) with a total mass of 330 g were used. They are viewed by the low background 5" EMI D724KFL

Fig. 2. (a) The scheme of the 186W – 186Re – 186Os triplet. (b) Simulated response functions of the 116Cd WO₄ crystal scintillators to the different modes of the 2 β decay of ¹⁸⁶W. Due to high detection efficiency of ¹¹⁶CdWO₄ scintillators to *γ* quanta with the energy 137 keV, response function for the $0\nu2\beta$ transition of ¹⁸⁶W to the first excited level of 186 Os is practically the same as for the $0\nu2\beta$ decay to the ground state.

photomultiplier (PMT) through one light guide 10 cm in diameter and 55 cm long. The light-guide is glued of two parts: high purity quartz (25 cm) and plastic scintillator (30 cm). The 116 CdWO₄ crystals are surrounded by an active shield made of 15 natural CdWO₄ crystals with a total mass 20.6 kg. These are viewed by the low background PMT through an active plastic light guide \oslash 17 \times 49 cm. The whole CdWO₄ array is situated within an additional active shield made of plastic scintillator $40 \times 40 \times 95$ cm³, thus, together with both active light-guides, a complete 4π active shield of the main (¹¹⁶CdWO₄) detector is provided. The outer passive shield consists of high purity copper (3–6 cm), lead (22*.*5– 30 cm) and polyethylene (16 cm). Two plastic scintillators ($120 \times 130 \times 3$ cm³) installed above the passive shield serve as cosmic muon veto.

An event-by-event data acquisition records the amplitude, arrival time, additional tags (the coincidence between main and shielding detectors) and pulse shape (in 2048 channels with 50 ns/channel) of events in the ¹¹⁶CdWO₄ detectors in the energy range of 0.3–5 MeV. In the special runs the energy threshold was set at the level of 80 keV.

Fig. 3. (a) The scheme of the 180 W– 180 Ta– 180 Hf triplet. (b) Simulated response functions of the 116 CdWO₄ crystals to the different modes of the double electron capture in 180W.

The energy scale and resolution of the detector were measured with *γ* sources in the energy range $E_\gamma = (60-2615)$ keV. The energy dependence of the resolution is expressed as follows: FWHM_{*γ*} = $-44 + \sqrt{2800 + 23.4E_y}$, where energy E_y and FWHM_{*γ*} are in keV. For instance, energy resolution of 33.7%, 13.5% and 8.0% was obtained for 60 keV (²⁴¹Am), 662 keV (¹³⁷Cs) and 2615 keV (²⁰⁸Tl) γ lines, respectively. The routine calibrations were carried out with ²⁰⁷Bi and ²³²Th γ sources.² The dead time of the detector and acquisition was permanently controlled with the help of a light emitting diode optically connected to the main PMT (typical value was about 5%).

Also, the relative light yield for α particles as compared with that for electrons (α/β ratio) was determined in the energy range of 2.1–8.8 MeV: $\alpha/\beta = 0.083 + 0.0168E_\alpha$,

² The stability of the spectrometer as a whole is proved by fact that the resolution of the $137Cs$ peak in the background spectra (collected over 13316 hours) is FWHM \approx 12%, that is practically the same as measured in the calibration runs.

where E_α is energy of α particles in MeV (we refer to work [23], where response of the ¹¹⁶CdWO₄ scintillators to α particles has been studied).

According to the measured α/β ratio, alpha particles from uranium and thorium trace contamination of the crystals can produce background in the 0.4–1.3 MeV energy region, where the peak of $0\nu2\beta$ decay of ¹⁸⁶W is expected. To suppress such a background caused by α particles, a method of pulse shape analysis (PSA) of CdWO₄ scintillation signals, based on the optimal digital filter [24], was developed [23,25]. In the data processing the digital filter was applied to each experimental signal *f (t)* with aim to obtain the numerical characteristic of its shape (shape indicator, SI) defined as $SI = \sum f(t_k) \times P(t_k) / \sum f(t_k)$, where the sum is over time channels k , starting from the origin of signal and up to 75 μ s, $f(t_k)$ is the digitized amplitude (at the time t_k) of a given signal. The weight function $P(t)$ is defined as: $P(t) = {\{\bar{f}_{\alpha}(t) - \bar{f}_{\gamma}(t)\}}/{\{\bar{f}_{\alpha}(t) + \bar{f}_{\gamma}(t)\}}$, where $\bar{f}_{\alpha}(t)$ and $\bar{f}_{\gamma}(t)$ are the reference pulse shapes for *α* particles and $γ$ quanta, resulting from the average of a large number of experimental pulse shapes.

The energy dependence of the SI for γ quanta (electrons) was determined in the energy range of 80–2000 keV by using the data of the calibration measurements. We find linear dependence on energy for the 260–2000 keV gamma quanta: $SI_v = 0.29{\text -}0.71 \times$ $10^{-3}E_\nu$, and more rapid decreasing function in the energy range of 80–260 keV: SI_{ν} = 6.0–0.046 E_{γ} + 0.92 × 10⁻⁴ E_{γ}^{2} .³ The SI distributions measured with *α* and *γ* sources are shown in Fig. 4(a). They are well described by Gaussian functions, whose standard deviations $\sigma(SI_\alpha)$ and $\sigma(SI_\gamma)$ depend on energy; in particular, for *γ* quanta $\sigma(SI_\gamma)$ = $102 \cdot (E_\gamma)^{-1/2}$, where E_γ is in keV. As it is seen from Fig. 4(a), the PSA technique allows us to distinguish clearly between electrons (γ rays) and α particles emitted by sources. It is also true for the background events collected in the experiment. The SI distributions for such events measured during 4856 h with the PSA energy threshold of 300 keV in the energy interval 450–550 keV (it is the region of the $0\nu2\beta$ decay peak of ¹⁸⁶W) is depicted in Fig. 4(b). It is visible from this figure, that with the proper cut (e.g., $SI \leqslant 12$) the contribution of *α* particles from the U/Th chains into the $\gamma(\beta)$ spectrum is negligible (less than 0.5%). An illustration of the PSA of the background events is presented in Fig. 5 as three-dimensional distribution of the background events versus energy and shape indicator. In this plot one can see two clearly separated populations: the α events, which belong to U/Th families, and $\gamma(\beta)$ events.

The energy and arrival time of each measured event were used for analysis and selection of some decay chains in 232 Th, 235 U and 238 U families with aim to delete them from the background spectrum (see for details [13,26]). For instance, the following sequence of *α* decays from the ²³²Th family was searched for and observed: ²²⁴Ra (Q_α = 5.79 MeV, $T_{1/2} = 3.66$ d) \rightarrow ²²⁰Rn ($Q_{\alpha} = 6.40$ MeV, $T_{1/2} = 55.6$ s) \rightarrow ²¹⁶Po ($Q_{\alpha} = 6.91$ MeV, $T_{1/2} = 0.145$ s) \rightarrow ²¹² Pb. The obtained α peaks (α nature of events was confirmed by the PSA described above), as well as the distributions of the time intervals between events are

³ Here all variables are dimensionless, and E_{γ} is expressed in keV.

Fig. 4. (a) The shape indicator (SI) distributions measured by the enriched 116 CdWO₄ crystal (\oslash 32 × 19 mm) with *α* particles (E_α = 3.04 MeV) and *γ* rays (E_γ = 450–550 keV). (b) The SI distributions for the background events measured (4856 h) by the ¹¹⁶CdWO₄ crystals in the energy interval 450–550 keV. The part of the SI_{α} distribution, which contributes to the $\gamma(\beta)$ spectrum (within the $\pm 2.4\sigma_{\gamma}$ interval of SI_{γ}), is less than 0.5% (filled in black).

in a good agreement with those expected for α particles of ²²⁴Ra, ²²⁰Rn and ²¹⁶Po. On this basis the activity of ²²⁸Th in ¹¹⁶CdWO₄ crystals was determined as 39(2) μ Bq/kg.⁴

Due to active and passive shields of the set-up, and as a result of the time-amplitude and pulse-shape analysis of the data, the background rate of $116CdWO_4$ detectors in the energy region 2.5–3.2 MeV (0ν 2 β decay energy of ¹¹⁶Cd is 2.8 MeV) was reduced to 0.04 counts*/*yr kg keV. It is the lowest background which has ever been reached with crystal scintillators.

⁴ The same technique was applied to the sequence of decays from the 235U and 238U families. Activity of 5.5(14) μ Bq/kg for ²²⁷Ac (²³⁵U family) and limit $\leq 4 \mu$ Bq/kg for ²²⁶Ra (²³⁸U family) in the ¹¹⁶CdWO₄ crystals were set.

Fig. 5. Three-dimensional distribution of the background events (692 h of exposure with the PSA threshold of 80 keV) versus energy and shape indicator. The population of *α* events belonging to the U*/*Th families is clearly separated from $\gamma(\beta)$ background.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Background interpretation

The energy spectrum of the $\gamma(\beta)$ events measured during 13316 h of live time in the low background set-up with the $116CdWO₄$ crystal scintillators (and selected by the PSA) is shown in Fig. 6. In the low energy region the background is caused mainly by the fourth-forbidden β decay of ¹¹³Cd ($T_{1/2} = 7.7 \times 10^{15}$ yr [27], $Q_{\beta} = 316$ keV) and β decay of ^{113m}Cd ($T_{1/2} = 14.1$ yr, $Q_{\beta} = 580$ keV [28]).⁵ The distribution above \approx 0.5 MeV is described by the 2*ν*2*β* decay spectrum of ¹¹⁶Cd with $T_{1/2} = 2.9 \times 10^{19}$ yr (see below), trace contamination of the enriched and shield crystals by $137Cs$ and $40K$, and external γ rays. The energy distributions for the above mentioned background

⁵ Abundance of ¹¹³Cd in the enriched crystals was measured with the help of mass-spectrometer as δ = 2.15(20)% [29]. The possible presence of 113mC in the CdWO₄ scintillators was confirmed by the low background measurements with two crystals, where *β* spectrum of 113mCd was observed surely [30].

Fig. 6. Spectrum of $\gamma(\beta)$ events measured with ¹¹⁶CdWO₄ detectors during 13316 h and selected by the pulse shape analysis. Solid line represents the fit of the data in 340–1900 keV energy interval. Also shown are the most important components of the background: β spectra of ¹¹³Cd and ^{113m}Cd, 2*ν*2 β spectrum of ¹¹⁶Cd with $T_{1/2}^{2\nu} = 2.9 \times 10^{19}$ yr, and model distribution of external *γ* s (dashed line). (Inset) The difference between experimental data and fit in the energy region of the $0\nu2\beta$ decay of ¹⁸⁶W. The shadowed peak is the excluded at 90% C.L. effect corresponding to $0\nu2\beta$ decay of ¹⁸⁶W with $T_{1/2} = 10^{21}$ yr.

components were simulated with the help of the code GEANT3.21 and event generator DECAY4. The best least squares fit (χ^2 /n.d.f. = 1.3) of the experimental spectrum in the 0.34–1.9 MeV energy interval by the sum of the listed components (to describe external *γ* s the exponential function was used in addition to the 40 K, 232 Th and 238 U contamination of the PMTs, whose activities were previously measured [31]) gives the intrinsic activities (mBq/kg) of the ¹¹⁶CdWO₄ crystals: 1.1(1) for ^{113m}Cd, 0.43(6) for ¹³⁷Cs, and 0.3(1) for $40K$. The contamination of the natural CdWO₄ crystals by $40K$ was calculated as 1.5(3) mBq*/*kg. The fitting curve and main components of the background are presented in Fig. 6.

Besides, the fit was repeated with the described model, into which other $\beta(\gamma)$ -active impurities were included: ^{210}Pb , ^{234}Pa (^{238}U family), ^{228}Ac (^{232}Th), ^{90}Sr (in equilibrium with $90Y$). However, only limits on their activities in the $116CdWO₄$ scintillators were obtained, as follows: $^{210}Pb \leqslant 0.4 \text{ mBq/kg}$, $^{234} \text{mPa} \leqslant 0.2 \text{ mBq/kg}$, $^{228} \text{Ac} \leqslant 0.1 \text{ mBq/kg}$, 90 Sr ≤ 0.2 mBq/kg.

The lowest energy part of the experimental spectrum is dominated by the *β* spectrum of ¹¹³Cd. To determine its activity, the background of the 116 CdWO₄ detectors was measured during 692 h with the energy threshold of 80 keV, which allows us to extend the PSA technique to this energy region. Fitting the data by sum of the simulated *β* spectra of ¹¹³Cd and exponential function (to describe residual background) we estimate the activity

of 113Cd in the enriched crystals as 91(5) mBq*/*kg. It corresponds to the abundance of this isotope in the detector $\delta = 1.99(10)\%$, that is in agreement with the result of mass-spectrometric measurement $\delta = 2.15(20)\%$ [29]. The background rate in the energy interval 100–160 keV (where the peaks of double electron capture in 180 W are expected) is equal to 45.4(3) counts*/*(day keV kg). Hence, we can conclude that at least 90% of events in this interval are caused by decays of 113 Cd, and only residual 10% are attributed to above described sources of background, mainly to the external γ rays and β decay of ^{113m}Cd.

3.2. Two-neutrino double beta decay of 116Cd

Earlier, after 4629 h of data taking in our experiment, the preliminary half-life value of two-neutrino 2 β decay of ¹¹⁶Cd was reported as $T_{1/2}^{2\nu} = 2.6 \pm 0.1$ (stat) $_{-0.4}^{+0.7}$ (syst) × 10¹⁹ yr [13]. In the present work with aim to derive the refined $T_{1/2}^{2\nu}$ value and the shape of $2\nu 2\beta$ decay spectrum of $116Cd$, we are using the advantage of higher statistics collected during 12649 h after the last upgrading of the set-up in 1999, when spectrometric parameters of the detector (in particular, the energy resolution and pulse shape discrimination ability) were improved. The ¹¹⁶CdWO₄ crystals contain 4.54×10^{23} nuclei of ¹¹⁶Cd, thus, the product of this number and the measuring time is 6.56×10^{23} nuclei \times yr. The total efficiency to detect $2\nu2\beta$ decay of ¹¹⁶Cd by the ¹¹⁶CdWO₄ crystals is estimated as 0.93 (Monte Carlo simulation gives the registration efficiency of the 2*ν*2*β* decay events as 0.96, while the efficiency of PSA for selection of *γ (β)* events is 0.97).

The part of the experimental spectrum used for the data analysis is depicted in Fig. 7. The data in the energy interval 800–2800 keV were simulated with the help of the GEANT3.21 package and event generator DECAY4. In addition to the ¹¹⁶Cd two-neutrino 2 β decay distribution, only three background components were considered. These are ⁴⁰K contamination of the enriched and natural CdWO4 scintillators and external *γ* background caused by ⁴⁰K, ²³²Th and ²³⁸U contamination of the PMTs (one PMT for ¹¹⁶CdWO₄ and one PMT for CdWO4 crystals, and two PMTs for plastic active shield). The radioactive impurities of each PMT were previously measured by R&D low background set-up as 2–4 Bq*/*PMT for 40K, (0.4–2.2) and (0.1–0.2) Bq*/*PMT for 226Ra and 228Th activity, respectively [31].

The fit of experimental data was carried out for different energy intervals in the range $(800-1500)$ – $(2000-2800)$ keV. Best fit $(\chi^2/n.d.f. = 0.74)$, achieved in the energy interval 860–2700 keV, gives the following results: the activities of 40 K inside the enriched and natural CdWO4 crystals are equal to 0.4(2) and 1.6(4) mBq*/*kg, respectively; the half-life value of the 2*ν*2*β* decay of ¹¹⁶Cd is 2.9 ± 0.06(stat) \times 10¹⁹ yr (the corresponding activity is about 1 mBq*/*kg).

In addition, we have justified our model with the help of experimental 2*ν*2*β* decay Kurie plot: $K(\varepsilon) = [S(\varepsilon)/\{(\varepsilon^4 + 10\varepsilon^3 + 40\varepsilon^2 + 60\varepsilon + 30)\varepsilon \}]^{1/5}$, where *S* is the number of events with the energy ε (in units of electron mass) in the experimental spectrum after background subtraction. For the true $2\nu 2\beta$ decay events such a Kurie plot should be the straight line $K(\varepsilon) \sim (Q_{2\beta} - \varepsilon)$. The obtained experimental Kurie plot is depicted in the inset of Fig. 7,

Fig. 7. The part of $\gamma(\beta)$ spectrum measured with ¹¹⁶CdWO₄ detectors during 12649 h, which was used for the determination of the half-life of $2v2\beta$ decay of ¹¹⁶Cd. Also shown are the most important model components: (a) the $2v2\beta$ spectrum of ¹¹⁶Cd; ⁴⁰K contamination of the enriched (b) and natural (c) CdWO₄ scintillators; (d) external *γ* background caused by 40K, 232Th and 238U contamination of the PMTs. Solid line represents the fit of the data in the 860–2700 keV energy interval. (Inset) The 2*ν*2*β* decay Kurie plot and its fit by the straight line in the 900–2500 keV energy region.

from which one can see that in the energy region 0*.*9–2*.*5 MeV it is indeed well fitted by the straight line with $Q_{2\beta} = 2808(43)$ keV (table value is $Q_{2\beta} = 2805(4)$ keV).⁶

It should be stressed that statistics collected in our experiment (9850 events of 2*ν*2*β* decay of 116Cd within the energy interval 800–2800 keV) and a signal to background ratio (3 : 1 for the energy interval 1*.*2–2*.*8 MeV, and 8 : 1 for the energy range 1*.*9–2*.*2 MeV) are among the highest ones reached up-to-date in 2*β* decay experiments [5–7].

Different origins of systematical uncertainties of the measured half-life were taken into account (see Table I in Ref. [13]). The main ones are possible trace of the *β* active nuclides: $(^{234m}$ Pa and ⁹⁰Sr in equilibrium with ⁹⁰Y) in the ¹¹⁶CdWO₄ crystals. From the upper limit on 226Ra contamination, derived with the help of time-amplitude analysis of data, it is obtained that activity of 238 U (and, therefore, of 234 mPa) in the enriched crystals is less

⁶ To take into account the energy resolution of the detector, the fitting procedure was repeated by using the convolution of the theoretical $2\nu 2\beta$ distribution $\rho(\varepsilon) = A \cdot \varepsilon (\varepsilon^4 + 10\varepsilon^3 + 40\varepsilon^2 + 60\varepsilon + 30) \cdot (Q_{2\beta} - \varepsilon)^5$ with the detector resolution function (*A*, which is inverse proportional to $T_{1/2}^{2\nu}$, and $Q_{2\beta}$ were taken as free parameters). The fit in the energy region 1.2–2.8 MeV yields a very similar $Q_{2\beta}^{1/2}$ and half-life values.

than 0.7 mBq/kg.⁷ To estimate a systematic uncertainties, both β nuclides (^{234m}Pa and $90\text{Sr}–90\text{Y}$) were included in the fitting procedure, which leads to the stronger bound on their total activity $\leqslant 0.3$ mBq/kg.

The final half-life value is equal to: $T_{1/2}^{2\nu} = 2.9 \pm 0.06$ (stat) $_{-0.3}^{+0.4}$ (syst) × 10¹⁹ yr. This value is in an agreement with our preliminary result $T_{1/2}^{2\nu} = 2.6 \pm 0.1$ (stat) $_{-0.4}^{+0.7}$ (syst) × 10¹⁹ yr [13], and with those measured earlier: $T_{1/2}^{2\nu} = 2.6_{-0.5}^{+0.9} \times 10^{19}$ yr [33], $T_{1/2}^{2\nu} =$ $2.7^{+0.5}_{-0.4}$ (stat) $^{+0.9}_{-0.6}$ (syst) × 10¹⁹ yr [34], and $T_{1/2}^{2\nu} = 3.75 \pm 0.35$ (stat) ± 0.21 (syst) × 10¹⁹ yr [35].

3.3. Double beta decay of 186W

The background spectrum of Fig. 6 was used to search for neutrinoless 2*β* decay of ¹⁸⁶W to the ground state or to the first excited (2^+) level of ¹⁸⁶Os. The counting rate in the energy range of interest (440–540 keV) is equal to 0.333(4) counts*/*(day keV kg). In the experimental data there are no indications for the positive signals searched for, hence, we can only put the limits on their probabilities with the help of the known formula: lim $T_{1/2} = N \cdot \ln 2 \cdot \eta \cdot t / \lim S$, where *N* is the number of ¹⁸⁶W nuclei (1.56 × 10²³), η is the total detection efficiency, t is the measuring time (13316 h), and $\lim S$ is the number of effect's events, which can be excluded at a given confidence level. The total detection efficiency includes the efficiency of registration of the $0\nu2\beta$ decay events by the ¹¹⁶CdWO₄ scintillators (η_R), and the efficiency of the PSA for selection of $\gamma(\beta)$ events (η _{PSA}). The first value was determined by the Monte Carlo simulation (see Fig. 2(b)) as $\eta_R = 99.4\%$, thus, taking into account the PSA efficiency ($\eta_{PSA} = 95\%$), the total efficiency is $\eta = 94\%$.

The values of lim*S* were determined in two ways. First, it was estimated simply as square root of the number of background counts in the energy window of interest. Notwithstanding its simplicity this method gives the right scale of the sensitivity of the experiment. In the energy interval 440–540 keV, which contains 89% of the expected 0ν 2 β peak (so, the total efficiency $\eta = 84\%$), there are 6091 counts, hence, the square root estimate gives $\lim S = 128$ counts at 90% C.L. Using this value, we obtain the halflife limit $T_{1/2} \geq 1.1 \times 10^{21}$ yr at 90% C.L. Secondly, the lim S was determined by using the standard least squares procedure, where the experimental spectrum in the 380–1200 keV energy interval was fitted by the sum of the 0*ν*2*β* decay peak being sought and background components determined above (^{113m}Cd, ¹³⁷Cs, exponential as external γ rays and 2ν 2*β* decay of ¹¹⁶Cd). The fit (χ^2 /n.d.f. = 1.06) yields the peak area (−21 ± 95) counts, that is no evidence for the effect. Conservatively treating such a negative difference as zero, one can get $\lim S = 156$ (95) counts at 90% (68%) C.L. Using these values and the total efficiency $\eta = 94\%$, we set the following half-life limit on the $0\nu/2\beta$ decay of ¹⁸⁶W to the ground level of 186 Os:

$$
T_{1/2}^{0\nu}({}^{186}\text{W}) \geq 1.0 (1.6) \times 10^{21} \text{ yr at } 90\% \text{ (68%) C.L.}
$$

⁷ Analysis of alpha spectra [32] gives the similar estimation (≤ 0.6 mBq/kg) for total activity of ²³⁸U and 234U.

Fig. 8. Low energy part of the spectrum measured during 692 h by the 116CdWO_4 detectors with the energy threshold of 80 keV (the $\gamma(\beta)$ events were selected by the PSA with efficiency of 98%). The fitting curve is drawn by the solid line. Shadowed distribution is $2v2\beta$ decay spectrum of 186 W with $T_{1/2}^{2\nu} = 2.6 \times 10^{18}$ yr excluded at 90% C.L. (Inset) The part of the spectrum together with the $2v^2K$ peak of 180 W with $T_{1/2}^{2v^2K} = 0.7 \times 10^{17}$ yr (dotted line) and $0\nu 2\varepsilon$ peak of 180 W with $T_{1/2}^{0\nu 2\varepsilon} = 0.9 \times 10^{17}$ yr (shadowed), both excluded at 90% C.L.

In the inset of Fig. 6 the difference between the experimental and model spectra is shown together with the excluded peak of the $0\nu2\beta$ decay of ¹⁸⁶W.

In case of the $0\nu2\beta$ decay of ¹⁸⁶W to the first excited (2⁺) level of ¹⁸⁶Os, the *γ* quanta with the energy of 137 keV (deexcitation of the 2^+ level of 186 Os) will be emitted. Due to practically full absorption of these γ quanta by the ¹¹⁶CdWO₄ crystals, the expected response function does not differ from that for transition to ground state (see Fig. 2(b)). The total efficiency is equal to $\eta = 92\%$, which leads to the same half-life limit:

$$
T_{1/2}^{0\nu}({}^{186}\text{W}, \text{g.s.} \rightarrow 2^+) \geq 1.0 \ (1.6) \times 10^{21} \text{ yr}
$$
 at 90% (68%) C.L.

Similarly, for the $0\nu2\beta$ decay of ¹⁸⁶W with emission of Majoron, fit of the spectrum gives the following restriction for the half-life:

$$
T_{1/2}^{0\nu M}({}^{186}\text{W}) \geqslant 1.2 \ (1.4) \times 10^{20} \ \text{yr} \ \text{at } 90\% \ (68\%) \text{ C.L.}
$$

To estimate lower limit for the $2v2\beta$ decay of ¹⁸⁶W, we have considered the background spectrum accumulated during 692 h with the low energy threshold (Fig. 8). Only 2% of $\gamma(\beta)$ events have been removed from the data as result of the PSA ($\eta_{PSA} = 98\%$). Because practically 100% of beta particles from expected $2v2\beta$ decay are absorbed in the crystals, the total efficiency is $\eta = 98\%$. The simple model (it includes the $2\nu2\beta$ spectrum of ¹⁸⁶W, β spectrum of ¹¹³Cd and exponential function) was used to fit the experimental data. The

best fit (χ^2 /n.d.f. = 1.9), achieved in the energy interval 100–500 keV, gives 60 \pm 1962 events for the effect searched for. It yields 3277 (2022) counts excluded at 90% (68%) C.L., and consequently, the following half-life limit for the $2\nu2\beta$ decay of ¹⁸⁶W:

$$
T_{1/2}^{2\nu}({}^{186}\text{W}) \geq 2.6 \ (4.1) \times 10^{18} \ \text{yr} \ \text{at } 90\% \ (68\%) \text{ C.L.}
$$

The $2\nu2\beta$ decay distribution of ¹⁸⁶W excluded at 90% C.L. is depicted in Fig. 8.

The same method gives the bound for $2\nu2\beta$ transition to the first (2⁺) excited level of 186 Os:

$$
T_{1/2}^{2\nu}({}^{186}\text{W}, \text{g.s.} \to 2^+) \geqslant 1.0 \ (1.3) \times 10^{19} \ \text{yr} \quad \text{at 90\%} \ (68\%) \ \text{C.L.}
$$

3.4. Double electron capture in 180W

To set the limits on the $0\nu2\varepsilon$ process in ¹⁸⁰W, the background spectrum of ¹¹⁶CdWO₄ detector measured during 692 h with the low energy threshold (see Fig. 8) was used. The least squares fit of this spectrum in the 90–240 keV energy interval gives 91 ± 177 counts for the peak searched for (χ^2 /n.d.f. = 1.3), that is no evidence for the effect. These numbers lead to an upper limit of 381 (268) counts at 90% (68%) C.L. Taking into account 100% registration efficiency for this process (thus, the total efficiency $η = η_{PSA} = 98%)$) and number of ¹⁸⁰W nuclei (6.57 \times 10²⁰), one can calculate the half-life limit:

$$
T_{1/2}^{0\nu2\varepsilon}({}^{180}\text{W}) \geq 0.9 (1.3) \times 10^{17} \text{ yr at 90\%} (68\%) \text{ C.L.}
$$

The same method gives the restriction for the $2v2K$ process in ¹⁸⁰W:

$$
T_{1/2}^{2\nu 2K} \left(^{180} W \right) \geqslant 0.7 \ (0.8) \times 10^{17} \text{ yr at 90\%} \ (68\%) \text{ C.L.}
$$

The peaks of the $0\nu2\varepsilon$ and $2\nu2K$ of ¹⁸⁰W excluded at 90% C.L. are shown in the inset of Fig. 8.

4. Conclusions

With the help of the super-low background ¹¹⁶CdWO₄ scintillators the refined halflife value of the two-neutrino 2β decay of ¹¹⁶Cd is measured as: $T_{1/2}^{2\nu} = 2.9 \pm 1.0$ $0.06(stat)^{+0.4}_{-0.3}$ (syst) × 10¹⁹ yr. The measured $2\nu2\beta$ Kurie plot is well described by the straight line, and derived $Q_{\beta\beta}$ value (2808 ± 43 keV) is in accordance with the table value 2805(4) keV.

All half-life limits on 2β decay processes in ¹⁸⁶W and ¹⁸⁰W obtained in the present experiment with the help of the low background 116 CdWO₄ scintillators are summarized in Table. Note that limit $T_{1/2}^{0\nu} \geq 10^{21}$ yr on the $0\nu2\beta$ decay of ¹⁸⁶W is near one order of magnitude higher then previous result [29], and that up to now such a level was reached only for ten nuclides [7]. The bounds for the 0*ν* decay with Majoron emission and for the 2ν decay to the first (2^+) excited level of ¹⁸⁶Os are set for the first time. However, obtained

Nuclide	Decay mode			Experimental $T_{1/2}$ limit, yr		
				Present work		[29] 90% C.L.
				90% C.L.	68% C.L.	
180 _W	2ε	0ν	$g.s.-g.s.$	0.9×10^{17}	1.3×10^{17}	0.5×10^{17}
	2K	2ν	$g.s.-g.s.$	0.7×10^{17}	0.8×10^{17}	
186 _W	$2\beta^-$	0ν	$g.s.-g.s.$	1.0×10^{21}	1.6×10^{21}	2.7×10^{20}
			$g.s.-2^+$	1.0×10^{21}	1.6×10^{21}	2.4×10^{20}
		$0\nu M$	$g.s.-g.s.$	1.2×10^{20}	1.4×10^{20}	
		2ν	$g.s.-g.s.$	2.6×10^{18}	4.1×10^{18}	5.9×10^{17}
		2ν	$g.s.-2^{+}$	1.0×10^{19}	1.3×10^{19}	

Table 1 Half-life limits on the 2β decay processes in ¹⁸⁰W and ¹⁸⁶W

results are still far from the theoretical predictions, which, e.g., for the 0*ν*2*β* decay are in the range of 6×10^{24} yr [36]–5 $\times 10^{25}$ yr [37] (for $m_v = 1$ eV).⁸

The half-life limits on the double electron capture of 180W established in our work are higher than those of previous experiment [29]. To date only five nuclei $(^{40}Ca, ^{78}Kr,$ $106Cd$, $108Cd$ and $124Xe$) were studied at the similar level of sensitivity [7].⁹ However, these experimental bounds are still far from the theoretical prediction ($\approx 10^{25}$ yr [39]), thus further efforts in this direction would be needed.

It is evident that sensitivity of the present experiment to double beta decay processes on tungsten isotopes is limited mainly by the background from the *β* decay of ¹¹³Cd ($T_{1/2}$ = 7.7×10^{15} yr, $Q_\beta = 316$ keV, abundance in ¹¹⁶CdWO₄ of \approx 2%), ^{113m}Cd ($T_{1/2} = 14.1$ yr, Q_β = 580 keV), and from the 2*ν*2 β decay of ¹¹⁶Cd ($T_{1/2}^{2\nu}$ = 2.9 × 10¹⁹ yr). The latter can be substantially reduced by using non-enriched $CdWO_4$ crystals (natural abundance of ¹¹⁶Cd is \approx 7.5%). However, in this case the background from the ¹¹³Cd and ^{113m}Cd will be increased essentially because of high natural abundance of ¹¹³Cd ($\delta \approx 12\%$). This difficulty could be overcome by replacing CdWO₄ crystals with zinc (ZnWO_4) or calcium (CaWO_4) tungstate scintillators. Preliminary studies of the scintillation properties and radiopurity of these crystals gave us encouraging results both for ZnWO_4 [40,41] and CaWO_4 detectors.

Apparently, a higher sensitivity for such a research could be obtained by using CdWO4 (ZnWO₄ or CaWO₄) detectors enriched in ¹⁸⁰W and ¹⁸⁶W. Finally, let us mention an interesting possibility to exploit these crystals as low temperature bolometers [42,43], whose energy resolution is much better than that of scintillation detectors.

⁸ It should be stressed that in accordance with theoretical calculations [36,37] the 2*ν*2*β* decay rate of 186W is strongly suppressed as compared with those of other nuclei. Hence, the energy region of $0\nu2\beta$ signal of 186 W will be free of the background created by the 2*ν*2*β* events, which can reach this region due to the poor energy resolution of the detector [6,7]. The suppression of the 2*ν* mode would be especially important in the search for the 0*ν* decay with Majoron emission, whose distribution is continuous, because in this case the 0*ν*2*βM* events will not be distinguished from the 2*ν* background even with the help of the high energy resolution detector.

⁹ An indication on the existence of the $2\beta^+$ decay processes in ^{130}Ba and ^{132}Ba was obtained in the geochemical measurements [38]; however, this result has to be confirmed by a direct counting experiment.

References

- [1] Y. Fukuda, et al., Super-Kamiokande Collaboration, Phys. Rev. Lett. 81 (1998) 1562;
	- Y. Fukuda, et al., Super-Kamiokande Collaboration, Phys. Rev. Lett. 82 (1999) 1810;
	- Y. Fukuda, et al., Super-Kamiokande Collaboration, Phys. Rev. Lett. 82 (1999) 2430; Y. Fukuda, et al., Super-Kamiokande Collaboration, Phys. Rev. Lett. 86 (2001) 5651.
- [2] Q.R. Ahmad, et al., SNO Collaboration, Phys. Rev. Lett. 87 (2001) 071301;
- Q.R. Ahmad, et al., SNO Collaboration, Phys. Rev. Lett. 89 (2002) 011301; Q.R. Ahmad, et al., SNO Collaboration, Phys. Rev. Lett. 89 (2002) 011302.
- [3] C.K. Jung, K2K Collaboration, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A 17 (2002) 3364.
- [4] S.R. Elliot, P. Vogel, Annu. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci. 52 (2002) 115.
- [5] J.D. Vergados, Phys. Rep. 361 (2002) 1.
- [6] Yu.G. Zdesenko, Rev. Mod. Phys. 74 (2002) 663.
- [7] V.I. Tretyak, Yu.G. Zdesenko, At. Data Nucl. Data Tables 80 (2002) 83.
- [8] R.K. Bardin, et al., Nucl. Phys. A 158 (1970) 337; V.B. Brudanin, et al., Phys. Lett. B 495 (2000) 63.
- [9] A.A. Klimenko, et al., Nucl. Instrum. Methods B 17 (1986) 445; A. De Silva, et al., Phys. Rev. C 56 (1997) 2451.
- [10] F.A. Danevich, et al., Nucl. Phys. A 694 (2001) 375.
- [11] S.R. Elliot, et al., Phys. Rev. C 46 (1992) 1535.
- [12] H. Ejiri, et al., Phys. Rev. C 63 (2001) 065501.
- [13] F.A. Danevich, et al., Phys. Rev. C 62 (2000) 045501; P.G. Bizzeti, et al., Nucl. Phys. B (Proc. Suppl.) 110 (2002) 389.
- [14] A. Alessandrello, et al., Phys. Lett. B 486 (2000) 13.
- [15] R. Luescher, et al., Phys. Lett. B 434 (1998) 407.
- [16] L. Baudis, et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 83 (1999) 41.
- [17] C.E. Aalseth, et al., Phys. Rev. C 59 (1999) 2108; C.E. Aalseth, et al., Phys. Rev. D 65 (2002) 092007.
- [18] GEANT, CERN Program Library Long Write-up W5013, CERN, 1994.
- [19] O.A. Ponkratenko, et al., Phys. At. Nucl. 63 (2000) 1282.
- [20] K.J.R. Rosman, P.D.P. Taylor, Pure Appl. Chem. 70 (1998) 217.
- [21] G. Audi, A.H. Wapstra, Nucl. Phys. A 595 (1995) 409.
- [22] Yu.G. Zdesenko, et al., in: Proceedings 2nd International Symposium Underground Physics, Baksan Valley, USSR, August 17–19, 1987, Nauka, Moscow, 1988, p. 291.
- [23] P.G. Bizzeti, et al., Bull. Russ. Acad. Sci., Ser. Phys. 66 (2002) 630 (in Russian).
- [24] E. Gatti, F. De Martini, Nuclear Electronics 2, IAEA, Vienna, 1962, p. 265.
- [25] T. Fazzini, et al., Nucl. Instrum. Methods A 410 (1998) 213.
- [26] F.A. Danevich, et al., Nucl. Phys. A 694 (2001) 375.
- [27] F.A. Danevich, et al., Phys. At. Nucl. 59 (1996) 1.
- [28] R.B. Firestone, et al. (Eds.), Table of Isotopes, 8th Edition, Wiley, New York, 1996.
- [29] A.Sh. Georgadze, et al., Phys. At. Nucl. 58 (1995) 1093.
- [30] A.Sh. Georgadze, et al., Instrum. Exp. Tech. 39 (1996) 191.
- [31] F.A. Danevich, et al., Nucl. Phys. A 643 (1998) 317.
- [32] F.A. Danevich, et al., nucl-ex/0211013; F.A. Danevich, et al., Phys. Rev. C 67 (2003), in press.
- [33] H. Ejiri, et al., J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 64 (1995) 339.
- [34] F.A. Danevich, et al., Phys. Lett. B 344 (1995) 72; A.Sh. Georgadze, et al., Phys. At. Nucl. 58 (1995) 1093.
- [35] R. Arnold, et al., Z. Phys. C 72 (1996) 239.
- [36] A. Staudt, et al., Europhys. Lett. 13 (1990) 535.
- [37] J.G. Hirsch, O. Castanos, P.O. Hess, Nucl. Phys. A 582 (1995) 124.
- [38] A.P. Meshik, et al., Phys. Rev. C 64 (2001) 035205.
- [39] Z. Sujkowski, S. Wycech, Acta Phys. Pol. B 33 (2002) 471.
- [40] F.A. Danevich, et al., Prib. Tekh. Eksp. 5 (1989) 80 (in Russian).
- [41] A.Sh. Georgadze, et al., JETP Lett. 61 (1995) 882.
- [42] A. Alessandrello, et al., Nucl. Instrum. Methods A 344 (1994) 243;
- A. Alessandrello, et al., Nucl. Phys. B (Proc. Suppl.) 35 (1994) 394.
- [43] M. Bravin, et al., Astropart. Phys. 12 (1999) 107.